Claire M. Leary Attorney at Law 912 Cole Street, Suite 347 2 San Francisco, California 94117 (415) 225-4640 3 Fax (510) 351-1636 Counsel for Defendant Eduardo Zaragoza 4

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Case No.: 08-0083 PJH

Plaintiff,

Defendant Eduardo Zaragoza's Memorandum on Conflict of Interest

VS.

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MARTELL MURILLO VALENCIA, and EDUARDO ZARAGOZA,

Defendants.

Defendant Eduardo Zaragoza hereby responds to the Government's and Mr. Lyons's memoranda regarding conflict of interest in the aboveentitled matter.

Mr. Eduardo Zaragoza hired Mr. Lyons to represent and defend him against charges filed in San Francisco Superior Court. In late March 2008, Mr. Lyons moved to withdraw from that representation. Superior Court appointed the conflicts attorney of the day to represent Mr. Zaragoza there.

Mr. Lyons owes a duty of loyalty to Mr. Eduardo Zaragoza. Zaragoza submits that he did have substantive, confidential conversations with Mr. Lyons. It appears that the two were preparing for either a preliminary hearing or a disposition in the state case. It also seems that the very fact of his representation stands in a

26

conflicted light in that Mr. Lyons has revealed that Mr. Zaragoza used a different name in the state court prosecution. Perhaps it is only through the revelation of the alias that the Government here knows that Mr. Zaragoza was charged with a methamphetamine violation.

Mr. Zaragoza is now charged with methamphetamine violations in both jurisdictions. The charges are close enough in time, if not overlapping, that information from one case will be of use in the other.

As the Government correctly points out it is possible that Mr. Zaragoza might someday testify in this matter. Mr. Lyons, in representing Mr. Valencia might have to cross examine Mr. Zaragoza. Mr. Lyons's duty to Mr. Zaragoza survives despite his withdrawal from representation in state court. Mr. Lyons could not hold confidential information he has obtained from Mr. Zaragoza as he is obliged to do, while effectively representing Mr. Valencia.

The instant case, a large, multi-defendant methamphetamine conspiracy with wiretaps spanning many months is rife with opportunity for information about one client spilling over on and affecting other clients. Because the discovery is so voluminous it is impossible for counsel to tell at this time how relationships between defendants will play out and what information will tie them together.

As the Government points out successive representation of clients poses the same conflict problems as simultaneous representation. See Government Brief at 4, citing United States v. Rewald, 889 F.2d 836

(9th Cir. 1989). Mr. Eduardo Zaragoza does not, at this time, intend to waive that conflict. Conclusion For the foregoing reasons Mr. Eduardo Zaragoza requests that the Court not permit Mr. Lyons's representation of Mr. Valencia. Respectfully submitted, Dated: April 7, 2008 /s/____ Claire Leary Counsel for Defendant Eduardo Zaragoza

Certificate of Service

The undersigned hereby certifies that a copy of the foregoing Memorandum on Conflict was served electronically on:

Nicole Kim

Assistant United States Attorney

Dated: April 7, 20008 _____

CLAIRE M. LEARY